arXiv:math-ph/0510005v2 1 Nov 2007

On the axiomatization of “parallel transport” and its generalization

Bozhidar Z. Iliev [*] [†] [‡]

Short title: **On the axiomatization of “parallel transport”**

Last update: → October 1, 2007
Produced: → $\boxed{\text{February 5, 2008}}$

Report presented at the 8^{th} ***International Conference of Tensor Society on***
“Differential geometry, functional and complex analysis,
informatics and their applications”
Varna, Bulgaria, 22 – 26 August, 2005

http://www.arXiv.org e-Print archive No.: math-ph/0510005

BOZHO® TM

2000 MSC numbers:	***2003 PACS numbers:***
53C05, 53C99	02.40.Ma, 02.40.Vh
55R99, 58A30	02.40.-k, 04.20.Cv

Key-Words:
Connections on bundles, Parallel transport
Axiomatization of the parallel transport
Axiomatically defined parallel transport
Transports along paths in bundles

---

[*] Laboratory of Mathematical Modeling in Physics, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Boul. Tzarigradsko chaussée 72, 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria

[†] E-mail address: bozho@inrne.bas.bg

[‡] URL: http://theo.inrne.bas.bg/∼bozho/

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 Connections and parallel transports 1

3 Transports along paths in topological fibre bundles 3

4 The parallel transports as special cases of the transports along paths 5

5 Connections and (parallel) transports along paths 7

6 Conclusion 8

References 8
This article ends at page 11

Abstract

A concise discussion of the axiomatic approach to the concept of parallel transport is presented. In particular, attention is drawn to a bijective mapping between the sets of connections and (axiomatically defined) parallel transports. The transports along paths are pointed as a generalization of the (axiomatically defined) parallel transports, which form their proper subset. Some properties of the general transports along paths are given.

1. Introduction

The concept "parallel transport" precedes historically the one of a "connection" and was first clearly formulated in the work [1] of Levi Civita on a parallel transport of a vector in Riemannian geometry. The connection theory was formulated approximately during the period 1920–1949 in a series of works on particular connections and their subsequent generalizations and has obtained an almost complete form in 1950–1955 together with the clear formulation of the concepts "manifold" and "fibre bundle" [2]. During that period, with a few exceptional works, the 'parallel transport' was considered as a secondary concept, defined by means of the one of a 'connection'.

The widespread approach to the concept of a "parallel transport" is regarding it as a secondary one and defined on the base of the connection theory [3–20]. However, the opposite approach, in which the parallel transport is axiomatically defined and from it the connection theory is constructed, is also known [2, 21–30] and goes back to 1949; e.g. it is systematically realized in [30], where the connection theory on vector bundles is investigated. It seems that the earliest written accounts on this approach are the ones due to Ü. G. Lumiste [21, sec. 2.2] and C. Teleman [23, chapter IV, sec. B.3] (both published in 1964), the next essential steps being made by P. Dombrowski [24, § 1] and W. Poor [30]. Besides, the author of [24] states that his paper is based on unpublished lectures of prof. Willi Rinow (1907–1979) in 1949; see also [30, p. 46] where the author claims that the first axiomatical definition of a parallel transport in the tangent bundle case is given by prof. W. Rinow in his lectures at the Humboldt University in 1949.

In [31], the concept of a "parallel transport" was generalized to the one of a "transport along paths." The relations between both concepts ware analyzed in [32, 33].

The aim of this paper is to review some relations between the concepts "connection on a bundle" and "(parallel) transport (along paths) in a bundle". Besides, certain elements of the theory of general transports along paths in fibre bundles [31, 33, 34] will be presented. The set of these transports contains as a proper subset the one of (axiomatically defined) parallel transports, which is in a bijective correspondence with the set of connections.

Here is the layout of the work.

Section 2 recalls the definitions of a connection on a C^1 differentiable bundle and the generated by it parallel transport. The general concept of a parallel transport on an arbitrary topological bundle is introduced on the ground of some basic properties of these transports, . Section 3 contains elements of the general theory of transports along paths in topological bundles; in particular, their general form is derived. In section 4 is explicitly constructed a bijection between the sets of parallel transports and parallel transports along paths in topological bundles; the last transports form a proper subset of the set of transports along paths. In section 5 is reestablished [33] the existence of a bijection between the sets of connections and the one of parallel transports (along paths) in C^1 bundles. Section 6 closes the work.

The folowing notation will be used in this paper. By (E, π, B) we denote an arbitrary topological bundle [9, 12, 35] with bundle space E, base space B and projection $\pi\colon E \to B$. The tiple (E, π, M) stands for a C^1 bundle, i.e. a fibre bundle whose base and bundle spaces are C^1 differentiable manifolds. The space tantent to M at $x \in M$ is $T_x(M)$. An arbitrary real interval is denoted by J, $[a, b]$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \leq b$, stands for a closed real interval with end points a and b, and $\gamma\colon J \to B$ means a path in B.

2. Connections and parallel transports

To begin with, we recall the most widely used definitions of a connection and the parallel transport generated by it.

Definition 2.1. A *connection on a C^1 bundle* (E, π, M) is an $n = \dim M$ dimensional distribution Δ^h on E such that, for each $p \in E$ and the *vertical distribution* Δ^v defined by

$$\Delta^v \colon p \mapsto \Delta^v_p := T_{\imath(p)}\big(\pi^{-1}(\pi(p))\big) \cong T_p\big(\pi^{-1}(\pi(p))\big), \tag{2.1}$$

with $\imath \colon \pi^{-1}(\pi(p)) \to E$ being the inclusion mapping, is fulfilled

$$\Delta^v_p \oplus \Delta^h_p = T_p(E), \tag{2.2}$$

where $\Delta^h \colon p \mapsto \Delta^h_p \subseteq T_p(E)$ and $\oplus$ is the direct sum sign. The distribution Δ^h is called *horizontal.*

The (Δ-) horizontal lift $\bar{\gamma}$ of a path $\gamma \colon J \to M$ is a path $\bar{\gamma} \colon J \to E$ such that $\pi \circ \bar{\gamma} = \gamma$ and $\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(s) \in \Delta^h_{\gamma(s)}$ for all $s \in J$, i.e. the vectors tangent to $\bar{\gamma}$ belongs to the horizontal distribution Δ^h.

Definition 2.2. Let $\gamma \colon [\sigma, \tau] \to M$, with $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma \leq \tau$, and $\bar{\gamma}_p$ be the unique horizontal lift of γ in E passing through $p \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma([\sigma, \tau]))$. The *parallel transport (translation, displacement)* generated by (assigned to, defined by) a connection Δ^h is a mapping $\mathsf{P} \colon \gamma \mapsto \mathsf{P}^\gamma$, assigning to the path γ a mapping

$$\mathsf{P}^\gamma \colon \pi^{-1}(\gamma(\sigma)) \to \pi^{-1}(\gamma(\tau)) \qquad \gamma \colon [\sigma, \tau] \to M \tag{2.3}$$

such that, for each $p \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma(\sigma))$,

$$\mathsf{P}^\gamma(p) := \bar{\gamma}_p(\tau). \tag{2.4}$$

The problem of axiomatizing the concept of a parallel transport (generated by a connection) consists in finding a set of mappings which is in a bijective correspondence with the set of connections and with the one of parallel transports assigned to connections. [1] Any mapping belonging to such a set can be called a parallel transport with possible adjective(s) pointing to its origin or some its peculiarity; however, the term "parallel transport" will be reserved in this work for a particular kind of mappings described below via definition 2.3.

The most direct way for describing what a "parallel transport" is, is by axiomatizing some of the properties of the parallel transports generated by connections.

Proposition 2.1. *Let*

$$\mathsf{P} \colon \gamma \mapsto \mathsf{P}^\gamma \colon \pi^{-1}(\gamma(\sigma)) \to \pi^{-1}(\gamma(\tau)) \qquad \gamma \colon [\sigma, \tau] \to M \tag{2.5}$$

be the parallel transport generated by a connection on some C^1 bundle (E, π, M). The mapping P has the following properties:

(i) *The parallel transport P is invariant under orientation preserving changes of the paths' parameters. Precisely, if $\gamma \colon [\sigma, \tau] \to M$ and $\chi \colon [\sigma', \tau'] \to [\sigma, \tau]$ is an orientation preserving C^1 diffeomorphism, then*

$$\mathsf{P}^{\gamma \circ \chi} = \mathsf{P}^\gamma. \tag{2.6}$$

(ii) *If $\gamma \colon [0, 1] \to M$ and $\gamma_- \colon [0, 1] \to M$ is its canonical inverse, $\gamma_-(t) = \gamma(1 - t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, then*

$$\mathsf{P}^{\gamma_-} = \big(\mathsf{P}^\gamma\big)^{-1}. \tag{2.7}$$

(iii) *If $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \colon [0, 1] \to M$, $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(0)$, and $\gamma_1\gamma_2 \colon [0, 1] \to M$ is their canonical product,* [2] *then*

$$\mathsf{P}^{\gamma_1\gamma_2} = \mathsf{P}^{\gamma_2} \circ \mathsf{P}^{\gamma_1}, \tag{2.8}$$

---

[1] A good analysis of this problem for vector bundles and linear connections on them is contained in [30, chapter 2].

[2] By definition, we have $(\gamma_1\gamma_2) \colon [0, 1] \to M$ and $(\gamma_1\gamma_2)(t) = \gamma_1(2t)$ for $t \in [0, 1/2]$ and $(\gamma_1\gamma_2)(t) = \gamma_2(2t - 1)$ for $t \in [1/2, 1]$.

where $\circ$ denotes composition of mappings.

(iv) *If $\gamma_{r,x}\colon \{r\}=[r,r]\to\{x\}$ for some given $r\in\mathbb{R}$ and $x\in M$, then*

$$\mathsf{P}^{\gamma_{r,x}}=\mathsf{id}_{\pi^{-1}(x)} \tag{2.9}$$

where id_X is the identiy mapping of a set X.

Proof. The proofs of (2.6)–(2.9) can be found in a number of works, for example in [2,3,5, 21,26,27,29] □

Definition 2.3. Let (E,π,B) be a topological bundle. A mapping

$$\mathsf{P}\colon \gamma\mapsto\mathsf{P}^{\gamma}\colon \pi^{-1}(\gamma(\sigma))\to\pi^{-1}(\gamma(\tau)) \qquad \gamma\colon[\sigma,\tau]\to B \tag{2.10}$$

satisfying (2.6)–(2.9) (with B for M) will be called *(axiomatically defined) (topological) parallel transport.*

To justify this definition, one should prove the existence on C^1 bundles of bijections between the sets of parallel transports and the ones of connections and of parallel transports generated by connection. A peculiarity here is that, in a case of differentiable bundles, one should add some conditions concerning the differeintiability of the parallel transports which will be explained in section 5 below. As a result of definition 2.2 and proposition 2.1, it is sufficient to be constructed a bijection between the sets of parallel transports and connections, which is already done in [33, electronic version 2 or later].

3. Transports along paths in topological fibre bundles

In this section we shall describe briefly one generalization of the parallel transport (generated by connections) which realizes one possible generalization of the axiomatization of this concept.

Definition 3.1. A *transport along paths* in a topological bundle (E,π,B) is a mapping I assigning to every path $\gamma\colon J\to B$ a mapping I^{γ}, termed *transport along* γ, such that $I^{\gamma}\colon(s,t)\mapsto I^{\gamma}_{s\to t}$ where the mapping

$$I^{\gamma}_{s\to t}\colon \pi^{-1}(\gamma(s))\to\pi^{-1}(\gamma(t)) \qquad s,t\in J, \tag{3.1}$$

called *transport along γ from s to t*, has the properties:

$$I^{\gamma}_{s\to t}\circ I^{\gamma}_{r\to s}=I^{\gamma}_{r\to t} \qquad r,s,t\in J \tag{3.2}$$

$$I^{\gamma}_{s\to s}=\mathsf{id}_{\pi^{-1}(\gamma(s))} \qquad s\in J. \tag{3.3}$$

An analysis and various comments on this definition can be found in [31,32,36,37].

As we shall see below, an important special class of transports along paths is selected by the conditions

$$I^{\gamma|J'}_{s\to t}=I^{\gamma}_{s\to t} \qquad s,t\in J' \tag{3.4}$$

$$I^{\gamma\circ\chi}_{s\to t}=I^{\gamma}_{\chi(s)\to\chi(t)} \qquad s,t\in J'', \tag{3.5}$$

where $J'\subseteq J$ is a subinterval, $\gamma|J'$ is the restriction of γ to J', and $\chi\colon J''\to J$ is a bijection of a real interval J'' onto J.

Putting $r=t$ in (3.2) and using (3.3), we see that the mappings (3.1) are invertible and

$$(I^{\gamma}_{s\to t})^{-1}=I^{\gamma}_{t\to s}. \tag{3.6}$$

For discussion and more details on the transports along paths, the reader is referred to [31, 32]; in particular, these references contain possible restrictions on them and their relations with other differential-geometric structures.

Example 3.1. Suppose the bundle (E, π, B) has a foliation structure [18], i.e. on the total bundle space E, which now is supposed to be a manifold, to be given a foliation $\{K_\alpha : K_\alpha \subset E,\, \alpha \in A\}$, with A being a set of indexes, which, in particular, means that [18] $K_\alpha \cap K_\beta = \varnothing$, $\alpha, \beta \in A$, $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\cup_{\alpha \in A} K_\alpha = E$. Let the foliation $\{K_\alpha\}$ be such that $\pi(K_\alpha) = B$ for all $\alpha \in A$.

For any path $\gamma\colon J \to B$ and $u \in E$ such that $\pi(u) \in \gamma(J)$, there is a unique lifting $\bar{\gamma}_u$ of γ in E passing through u and lying entirely in $K_{\alpha(u)}$, with $\alpha(u)$ being the unique $\alpha(u) \in A$ such that $u \in K_{\alpha(u)}$. It is given by

$$\bar{\gamma}_u(s) := \pi^{-1}(\gamma(s)) \cap K_{\alpha(u)}, \quad s \in J. \tag{3.7}$$

Then one can verify that the mapping $K\colon \gamma \mapsto K^\gamma\colon (s,t) \mapsto K^\gamma_{s\to t}$ with

$$K^\gamma_{s\to t}(u) := \bar{\gamma}_u(t) \qquad u \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma(s)), \quad s \in J \tag{3.8}$$

is a transport along paths in (E, π, M).

Example 3.2. Consider the trivial bundle $(B \times G, \mathrm{pr}_1, B)$ where B is a topological space, G is a group with multiplication $G \times G \ni (a,b) \mapsto a \cdot b \in G$ and $\mathrm{pr}_1\colon B \times G \to B$ is the projection on B. An element $u \in B \times G$ is of the form $u = (x, g)$ for some $x \in B$ and $g \in G$ and the fibre over $x \in B$ is $\mathrm{pr}_1^{-1}(x) = \{x\} \times G = \{(x,a) : a \in G\}$. For $\gamma\colon J \to B$ and $s, t \in J$, define $I\colon \gamma \mapsto I^\gamma\colon (s,t) \mapsto I^\gamma_{s\to t}\colon \mathrm{pr}_1^{-1}(\gamma(s)) \to \mathrm{pr}_1^{-1}(\gamma(t))$ by

$$I^\gamma_{s\to t}(u) = \big(\gamma(t), (f(\gamma,t))^{-1} \cdot f(\gamma,s) \cdot g\big) \quad \text{for } u = (\gamma(s), g) \in \mathrm{pr}_1^{-1}(\gamma(s)) \tag{3.9}$$

or by

$$I^\gamma_{s\to t}(u) = \big(\gamma(t), g \cdot f(\gamma,s) \cdot (f(\gamma,t))^{-1}\big) \quad \text{for } u = (\gamma(s), g) \in \mathrm{pr}_1^{-1}(\gamma(s)) \tag{3.9$'$}$$

for some mapping $f\colon (\gamma, s) \mapsto f(\gamma, s) \in G$ for every path γ and s in its domain. The verification of (3.1)–(3.3) is trivial and hence I is a transport along paths. In general, this transport is not a parallel transport (see section 4 below); if $(B \times G, \mathrm{pr}_1, B)$ is a differentiable bundle, there does not exist a connection for which $I^{\gamma|[s,t]}_{s\to t}$, with $s \le t$, is the parallel transport along the restricted path $\gamma|[s,t]$, the cause for which is that equations like (2.6) and (2.8) do not hold for these mappings unless some additional conditions are satisfied.

The general form of the transports along paths is described by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let in the base B of a topological fibre bundle (E, π, B) be given a path $\gamma : J \to B$ and, for arbitrary $s, t \in J$, be given a mapping* (3.1). *The mappings $I^\gamma_{s\to t}$, $s, t \in J$, define a transport along γ from s to t, i.e.* (3.2) *and* (3.3) *are satisfied, iff there exist a set Q and a family of bijections $\{F^\gamma_s : \pi^{-1}(\gamma(s)) \to Q, s \in J\}$ such that*

$$I^\gamma_{s\to t} = \big(F^\gamma_t\big)^{-1} \circ \big(F^\gamma_s\big), \quad s, t \in J. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. The theorem is a corollary of the following lemma in which one has to put $N = J$, $Q_s = \pi^{-1}(\gamma(s))$ and $R_{s\to t} = I^\gamma_{s\to t}$, $s, t \in J$. □

Lemma 3.1. *Let there be given a non-empty set N and families of equipollent sets $\{Q_s : s \in N\}$ and of mappings $\{R_{s\to t} : R_{s\to t} : Q_s \to Q_t,\ s, t \in N\}$. Then, the mappings $R_{s\to t}$ satisfy the equalities*

$$R_{s\to t} \circ R_{r\to s} = R_{r\to t}, \quad r, s, t \in N \tag{3.11}$$

$$R_{s\to s} = id_{Q_s}, \quad s \in N \tag{3.12}$$

iff there exists an equipollent with Q_s, for some $s \in N$, set Q and a family of bijections $\{F_s : F_s : Q_s \to Q, s \in N\}$, such that

$$R_{s\to t} = (F_t)^{-1} \circ (F_s), \quad s, t \in N. \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. The sufficiency is almost evident: the substitution of (3.13) into (3.11) and (3.12) converts them into identities. Conversely, putting $r = t$ in (3.11) and using (3.12), we see that $R_{s\to t}$ has an inverse mapping and

$$(R_{s\to t})^{-1} = R_{t\to s}, \quad s,t \in N \tag{3.14}$$

due to which, for any fixed $s_0 \in N$, we have (see also (3.11)) $R_{s\to t} = R_{s_0\to t} \circ R_{s\to s_0} = \big(R_{t\to s_0}\big)^{-1} \circ \big(R_{s\to s_0}\big)$, i.e. (3.13) is fulfilled for $Q = Q_{s_0}$ and $F_s = R_{s\to s_0}$. □

The arbitrariness in the choice of the set Q and the family $\{F_s\}$ in theorem 3.1 is described by

Proposition 3.1. *Let in a topological bundle* (E,π,B) *be given a transport* I *along paths with a representation* (3.10) *for some set* Q *and family of bijections* $\{F_s^\gamma : \pi^{-1}(\gamma(s)) \to Q,\ s\in J\}$*. Then, there exist a set* ${}^{\circ}Q$ *and family of bijections* $\{ {}^{\circ}F_s^\gamma : \pi^{-1}(\gamma(s)) \to {}^{\circ}Q,\ s\in J\}$ *such that*

$$I_{s\to t}^\gamma = \big({}^{\circ}F_t^\gamma\big)^{-1} \circ \big({}^{\circ}F_s^\gamma\big) \quad s,t\in J \tag{3.10$'$}$$

iff there exists a bijection $D^\gamma : {}^{\circ}Q \to Q$ *for which*

$$F_s^\gamma = D^\gamma \circ \big({}^{\circ}F_s^\gamma\big) \quad s\in J. \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. The proposition is a consequence from the following lemma for $N = J$, $Q_s = \pi^{-1}(\gamma(s))$ and $R_{s\to t} = I_{s\to t}^\gamma$, $s,t\in J$. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let there be given a non-empty set* N*, a family of equipollent sets* $\{Q_s : s\in N\}$*, which are equipollent to a set* ${}^{\circ}Q$*, and a family of mappings* $\{ {}^{\circ}F_s : {}^{\circ}F_s : Q_s \to {}^{\circ}Q, s\in N\}$*. If*

$$R_{s\to t} = \big({}^{\circ}F_t\big)^{-1} \circ \big({}^{\circ}F_s\big), \tag{3.13$'$}$$

then (3.13) *is valid for some family of mappings* $\{F_s : F_s : Q_s \to Q, s\in N\}$*,* Q *being a set equipollent with* ${}^{\circ}Q$*, if and only if there exists a bijection* $D : {}^{\circ}Q \to Q$*, such that*

$$F_s = D \circ \big({}^{\circ}F_s\big). \tag{3.16}$$

Proof. The sufficiency is almost evident: if (3.16) is true for some Q and $\{F_s\}$, then from it we find ${}^{\circ}F_s = D^{-1} \circ F_s$, $s\in N$, and substituting this result into (3.13$'$), we get (3.13). Conversely, if (3.13) is true, then we get $\big(F_t\big)^{-1} \circ \circ\big(F_s\big) = \big({}^{o}F_t\big)^{-1} \circ \big({}^{\circ}F_s\big)$, $s,t\in N$, due to (3.13$'$). Hereof we see that $F_s \circ \big({}^{\circ}F_s\big)^{-1} = F_t \circ \big({}^{\circ}F_t\big)^{-1}$ for any $s,t\in N$, but this means that the left and right hand sides of the last equality do not depend either on s or on t. Hence, fixing arbitrarily some $s_0\in N$ and putting $D = F_{s_0} \circ \circ \big({}^{\circ}F_{s_0}\big)^{-1} : {}^{\circ}Q \to Q$, we get (3.16) from the last equality for $t = s_0$. □

4. The parallel transports as special cases of the transports along paths

The following theorem, whose prototype is [32, theorem 3.1], describes completely the relations between parallel transports and transports along paths in general topological bundles.

Theorem 4.1. *Let* I *be a transport along paths in an arbitrary topological bundle* (E,π,B) *and* $\gamma\colon [\sigma,\tau] \to B$*. If* I *satisfies the conditions* (3.4) *and* (3.5)*, then the mapping*

$$\mathsf{I}\colon \gamma \mapsto \mathsf{I}^\gamma := I_{\sigma\to\tau}^\gamma \colon \pi^{-1}(\gamma(\sigma)) \to \pi^{-1}(\gamma(\tau)) \qquad \gamma\colon [\sigma,\tau] \to B \tag{4.1}$$

is a parallel transport, i.e. it possess the properties (2.6)–(2.9)*, with* I *for* P*.*

Conversely, suppose the mapping

$$\mathsf{P}\colon \gamma \mapsto \mathsf{P}^{\gamma}\colon \pi^{-1}(\gamma(\sigma)) \to \pi^{-1}(\gamma(\tau)) \qquad \gamma\colon [\sigma,\tau] \to M \tag{4.2}$$

is a parallel transport, i.e. satisfies (2.6)–(2.9)*, and define the mapping*

$$P\colon \beta \mapsto P^{\beta}\colon (s,t) \mapsto P^{\beta}_{s\to t} = \begin{cases} \mathsf{P}^{\beta|[s,t]} & \text{for } s \le t \\ \bigl(\mathsf{P}^{\beta|[t,s]}\bigr)^{-1} & \text{for } s \ge t \end{cases} \qquad \beta\colon J \to B \quad s,t \in J. \tag{4.3}$$

Then the mapping (4.3) *is a transport along paths in* (E,π,B)*, which transport satisfies the conditions* (3.4) *and* (3.5)*, with* P *for* I*.*

Proof. To prove the first part of the theorem, we define $\tau_{-}\colon t \mapsto 1-t$, $\tau_1\colon t \mapsto 2t$ and $\tau_2\colon t \mapsto 2t-1$ for $t \in [0,1]$. Applying (3.2)–(3.5) and using the notation of proposition 2.1, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{I}^{\gamma\circ\chi} &= I^{\gamma\circ\chi}_{\sigma\to\tau} = I^{\gamma}_{\chi(\sigma)\to\chi(\tau)} = \mathsf{I}^{\gamma} \\
\mathsf{I}^{\gamma_{-}} &= I^{\gamma\circ\tau_{-}}_{0\to1} = I^{\gamma}_{\tau_{-}(0)\to\tau_{-}(1)} = I^{\gamma}_{1\to0} = (I^{\gamma}_{0\to1})^{-1} = (\mathsf{I}^{\gamma})^{-1} \\
\mathsf{I}^{\gamma_1\gamma_2} &= I^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}_{0\to1} = I^{(\gamma_1\gamma_2)|[1/2,1]}_{1/2\to1} \circ I^{(\gamma_1\gamma_2)|[0,1/2]}_{0\to1/2} = I^{\gamma_2\circ\tau_2}_{1/2\to1} \circ I^{\gamma_1\circ\tau_1}_{0\to1/2} = I^{\gamma_2}_{0\to1} \circ I^{\gamma_1}_{0\to1} = \mathsf{I}^{\gamma_2} \circ \mathsf{I}^{\gamma_1} \\
\mathsf{I}^{\gamma_{r,x}} &= I^{\gamma_{r,x}}_{r\to r} = \mathsf{id}_{\pi^{-1}(x)}.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove the second part, we first note that (3.3) and (3.5) follow from (2.9) and (2.6), respectively, due to (4.3). As a result of (4.3), we have, e.g. for $s \le t$,

$$P^{\gamma|J'}_{s\to t} = \mathsf{P}^{(\gamma|J')|[s,t]} = \mathsf{P}^{\gamma|[s,t]} = P^{\gamma}_{s\to t}$$

by virtue of $[s,t] \subseteq J'$. At last, we shall prove (3.2) for $r \le s \le t$; the other cases can be proved similarly. Let us fix some orientation-preserving bijections $\tau'\colon [0,1] \to [r,s]$ and $\tau''\colon [0,1] \to [s,t]$. Then:

$$P^{\beta}_{s\to t} \circ P^{\beta}_{r\to s} = \mathsf{P}^{\beta|[s,t]} \circ \mathsf{P}^{\beta|[r,s]} = \mathsf{P}^{\beta\circ\tau''} \circ \mathsf{P}^{\beta\circ\tau'} = \mathsf{P}^{(\beta\circ\tau')(\beta\circ\tau'')} = \mathsf{P}^{\beta\circ\tau} = \mathsf{P}^{\beta|[r,t]} = P^{\beta}_{r\to t}$$

where $\tau\colon a \mapsto \tau'(2a)$ for $a \in [0,1/2]$ and $\tau\colon a \mapsto \tau''(2a-1)$ for $a \in [1/2,1]$, so that $\tau(0) = \tau'(0) = r$ and $\tau(1) = \tau''(1) = t$. □

Definition 4.1. A transport I along paths which has the properties (3.4) and (3.5) will be called *parallel* transport along paths. If I is a parallel transport along paths, then we say that the parallel transport (4.1) is *generated by* (*defined by, assigned to*) I. Respectively, if P is a parallel transport, then we say that the (parallel) transport along paths (4.3) is *generated by* (*defined by, assigned to*) P.

Theorem 4.1 simply says that there is a bijective correspondence between the set of parallel transports along paths and the one of parallel transports.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose* (E,π,M) *is a differentiable bundle of class* C^{m+1}*, for some* $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$*, and* $\gamma\colon J \to M$ *is a* C^1 *path. Then, in the notation and hypotheses of theorem* 4.1*, if the parallel transport* I *along paths is of class* C^m *in a sense that*

$$I^{\gamma}_{s\to t} \in \mathrm{Diff}^m\bigl(\pi^{-1}(\gamma(s)), \pi^{-1}(\gamma(t))\bigr) \qquad \gamma\colon J \to M \quad s,t \in J, \tag{4.4}$$

then the corresponding parallel transport I *(see* (4.1)*) is also of class* C^m*,*

$$\mathsf{I}\colon \beta \mapsto \mathsf{I}^{\beta} \in \mathrm{Diff}^m\bigl(\pi^{-1}(\beta(\sigma)), \pi^{-1}(\beta(\tau))\bigr) \qquad \beta\colon [\sigma,\tau] \to M \quad s,t \in J. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. This result is a simple corollary of (4.1) and (4.3). □

5. Connections and (parallel) transports along paths

We know from the previous sections that there exists a bijection between the sets of parallel transports and parallel transports along paths and that to any connection (on a C^1 bundle) there corresponds a parallel transport, viz. the parallel transport generate by it. Now we shall close this range of problems by a result stating that any parallel transport (along paths) generates a connection on a C^1 bundle.

Theorem 5.1. *Let I be a transport along paths in a bundle (E, π, M). Let $\gamma\colon J \to M$ be a path and, for any $s_0 \in J$ and $p \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma(s_0))$, the lift $\bar{\gamma}_{s_0,p}\colon J \to E$ of γ be defined by*

$$\bar{\gamma}_{s_0,p}(t) = I^{\gamma}_{s_0 \to t}(p) \qquad t \in J. \tag{5.1}$$

Suppose the transport I is such that:

(a) (C^1 smoothness) The path

$$\bar{\gamma}_{s_0,p} \text{ is of class } C^1 \tag{5.2a}$$

for every C^1 path γ, s_0 and p.

(b) (Initial uniqueness) If $\gamma_i\colon J_i \to M$, $i = 1, 2$, are two C^1 paths and for some $s_i \in J_i$ is fulfilled $\gamma_1(s_1) = \gamma_2(s_2)$ and $\dot{\gamma}_1(s_1) = \dot{\gamma}_2(s_2)$, then the lifted paths $\bar{\gamma}_{i;s_i,p}$, defined via (5.1) *with $p \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma_1(s_1)) = \pi^{-1}(\gamma_2(s_2))$, have equal tangent vectors at p,*

$$\dot{\bar{\gamma}}_{1;s_1,p}(s_1) = \dot{\bar{\gamma}}_{2;s_2,p}(s_2). \tag{5.2b}$$

(c) (Linearization) If $\gamma_i\colon J_i \to M$, $i = 1, 2$, are two C^1 paths and for some $s_i \in J_i$ is fulfilled $\gamma_1(s_1) = \gamma_2(s_2)$, then for every $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{K}$ there exists a C^1 path $\gamma_3\colon J_3 \to M$ (generally depending on a_1, a_2, γ_1 and γ_2) such that $\gamma_3(s_3) = \gamma_1(s_1)$ $(= \gamma_2(s_2))$ for some $s_3 \in J_3$ and the vector tangent to the lifted path $\bar{\gamma}_{3;s_3,p}$, defined via (5.1) *with $p \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma_3(s_3))$, at s_3 is*

$$\dot{\bar{\gamma}}_{3;s_3,p}(s_3) = a_1 \dot{\bar{\gamma}}_{1;s_1,p}(s_1) + a_2 \dot{\bar{\gamma}}_{2;s_2,p}(s_2). \tag{5.2c}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^I \colon p \mapsto \Delta^I_p := \Big\{ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=s_0} (\bar{\gamma}_{s_0,p}(t)) \\ : \gamma\colon J \to M \text{ is } C^1 \text{ and injective, } s_0 \in J,\ \gamma(s_0) = \pi(p) \Big\} \subseteq T_p(E), \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

with $p \in E$, is a distribution which is a connection on (E, π, M), i.e.

$$\Delta^v_p \oplus \Delta^I_p = T_p(E) \qquad p \in E, \tag{5.4}$$

with Δ^v being the vertical distribution on E, $\Delta^v_p = T_p(\pi^{-1}(\pi(p)))$.

Proof. See [33, electronic version 2 or later, § 4] □

In general, a parallel transport according to definition 2.3 does not define a connection on a differentiable bundle. The reason in terms of transports along paths is that equations (3.4) and (3.5) do not imply (5.1) and (5.2); however, (5.1) and (5.2) imply (3.4) and (3.5) and for that reason any connection generates a parallel transport. Evidently, in a differentiable bundle, a parallel transport can generate a connection if it satisfies some differentiablility conditions.

Proposition 5.1. *If P is a parallel transport assigned to a connection in a C^1 bundle, then, besides the properties described in proposition* 2.1, *it has also the properties:*

(v) *If $\bar{\gamma}_p\colon s \in [\sigma, \tau] \to \bar{\gamma}(s) := \mathsf{P}^{\gamma|[\sigma,s]}(p)$ is the lifting of γ through $p \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma(\sigma))$ defined* *by* P *and the* C^1 *paths* $\gamma_i\colon [\sigma_i,\tau_i] \to M$ *are such that* $\gamma_1(\sigma_1) = \gamma_2(\sigma_2)$ *and* $\dot\gamma_1(\sigma_1) = \dot\gamma_2(\sigma_2)$, *then (a) the lifted path*

$$\bar\gamma_p \text{ is of class } C^1 \tag{5.5}$$

for any C^1 *path* γ *and (b) the lifted paths* $\bar\gamma_{1;p}$ *and* $\bar\gamma_{2;p}$ *have equal tangent vectors at* p,

$$\dot{\bar\gamma}_{1;p}(\sigma_1) = \dot{\bar\gamma}_{2;p}(\sigma_2). \tag{5.6}$$

(vi) *If* $\gamma_i\colon [\sigma_i,\tau_i] \to M$, $i = 1,2$, *are two* C^1 *paths and* $\gamma_1(\sigma_1) = \gamma_2(\sigma_2)$, *then for every* $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{K}$ *there exists a* C^1 *path* $\gamma_3\colon [\sigma_3,\tau_3] \to M$ *such that* $\gamma_3(\sigma_3) = \gamma_1(\sigma_1)$ $(= \gamma_2(\sigma_2))$ *and the vector tangent to the lifted path* $\bar\gamma_{3;p}\colon t_3 \in [\sigma_3,\tau_3] \to \bar\gamma_{3;p}(t_3) := \mathsf{P}^{\gamma_3|[\sigma_3.t_3]}(p)$, *with* $p \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma_3(\sigma_3))$, *at* σ_3 *is*

$$\dot{\bar\gamma}_{3;p}(\sigma_3) = a_1\dot{\bar\gamma}_{1;p}(\sigma_2) + a_2\dot{\bar\gamma}_{2;p}(\sigma_2), \tag{5.7}$$

where $\bar\gamma_{i;p}\colon t_i \in [\sigma_i,\tau_i] \to \bar\gamma_{i;p}(t_i) := \mathsf{P}^{\gamma_i|[\sigma_i,t_i]}(p)$.

Proof. The proofs of (5.5)–(5.7) can be found in a number of works, for example in [2,3,5,21,26,27] ☐

It can be proved [33, electronic version 3 or later, § 4] that in C^1 bundle any topological parallel transport satisfying (5.5)–(5.7) defines a unique connection and *vice versa.* For this reason, such a transport may be called simply "parallel transport". A parallel transport defines a unique (parallel) transport along paths satisfying (5.2) and *vice versa.*

6. Conclusion

As it was pointed above in this work, there are bijective mappings between the sets of connections, parallel transports and parallel transports along paths on/in C^1 differentiable fibre bundles. Thus, the theory of the transports mentioned is only a new representation/face of the connection theory and is a particular and equivalent realizations of the axiomatization of the theory of parallel transports generated by connections.

However, the parallel transports (see definition 2.3), as well as the parallel transports along paths (see definition 4.1), are meaningful concepts on arbitrary topological bundles. Hence, in the context of the present paper and [23, chapter IV, sect 8.3], a connection on such bundles can be defined as or identified with a parallel transport (along paths).

At last, it is worth mentioning that, in our scheme, the transports along paths are the most general objects and that there exist transports along paths which are not parallel transports (along paths).

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the National Science Fund of Bulgaria under Grant No. F 1515/2005.

References

[1] T. Levi-Civita. Nazione di parallelismo in una variete qualunque e consequente speticazione geometrica della curvaturre riemanniano. *Rend. Palermo*, 42:73–205, 1917. In Italian.

[2] Ülo G. Lumiste. Connection theory in fibre bundles. In *Science review*, Mathematics: Algebra. Topology. Geometry. 1969, pages 123–168. VINITI, Moscow, 1971. In Russian.

[3] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu. *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, volume I. Interscience Publishers, New York-London, 1963. Russian translation: Nauka, Moscow, 1981.

[4] R. K. Sachs and H. Wu. *General Relativity for Mathematicians.* Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1977.

[5] C. Nash and S. Sen. *Topology and Geometry for physicists.* Academic Press, London-New York, 1983.

[6] F. W. Warner. *Foundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups.* Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg-Tokyo, 1983. Russian translation: Mir, Moscow, 1987.

[7] R. L. Bishop and R. J. Crittenden. *Geometry of Manifolds.* Academic Press, New York-London, 1964.

[8] K. Yano and M. Kon. *Structures on Manifolds*, volume 3 of *Series in Pure Mathematics.* World Scientific Publ. Co., Singapore, 1984.

[9] N. Steenrod. *The topology of fibre bundles.* Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, ninth edition, 1974.

[10] R. Sulanke and P. Wintgen. *Differential geometry and fibre bundles.* Mir, Moscow, 1975. In Russian. Translation from the German original: Differentialgeometrie und Faserbündel, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1972.

[11] Y. Choquet-Bruhat et al. *Analysis, manifolds and physics.* North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1982.

[12] D. Husemoller. *Fibre bundles.* McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-St. Louis-San Francisco-Toronto-London-Sydney, 1966. Russian translation: Mir, Moscow, 1970.

[13] A. S. Mishchenko. *Vector fibre bundles and their applications.* Nauka, Moscow, 1984. In Russian.

[14] R. Hermann. *Vector bundles in mathematical physics*, volume I. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1970.

[15] W. Greub, S. Halperin, and R. Vanstone. *De Rham cohomology of manifolds and vector bundles*, volume 1 of *Connections, Curvature, and Cohomology.* Academic Press, New York and London, 1972.

[16] M. F. Atiyah. *K-theory.* Harvard Univ, Cambridge, Mass., 1965.

[17] R. Dandoloff and W. J. Zakrzewski. Parallel transport along a space curve and related phases. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 22:L461–L466, 1989.

[18] I. Tamura. *Topology of foliations.* Mir, Moscow, 1979. Russian translation from 1976 Japanese original.

[19] N. J. Hicks. *Notes on differential geometry.* D. Van Nostrand Comp., Inc., Princeton, 1965.

[20] S. Sternberg. *Lectures on differential geometry.* Chelsea Publ. Co., New York, 1983. First edition: Prentice Hall, Inc. Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1964. Russian translation: Mir, Moscow, 1970.

[21] Ülo G. Lumiste. To the foundations of the global connection theory. In *Scientific writings of the Tartu state university*, number 150 in Works on mathematics and mechanics, IV, pages 69–107. University of Tartu, Tartu, 1964. In Russian.

[22] Ülo G. Lumiste. Homogeneous fibre bundles with connection and their immersions. In *Works of the Geometrical seminar*, volume 1, pages 191–237. VINITI, Moscow, 1966. In Russian.

[23] C. Teleman. *Elements of topology and differentiable manifolds.* Mir, Moscow, 1967. In Russian. Translation from the Rumanian original: Elemente de topologie si varietāti differentiabile, Bucuresti, 1964. German translation: Grundzüge der Topologie und differenzierbare Mannigfaltigkeiten (Autorisierte und vom Autor erg. Übersetzung aus dem Rumänischen: Horst Antelmann.) Mit 22 Abbildungen, Berlin, Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1968, 411 p.

[24] Peter Dombrowski. Krümmungsgrößen gleichungsdefinierter Untermannigfaltigkeiten Riemannscher Mannigfaltigkeiten. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 38(3/4):133–180, 1968.

[25] *Mathematical encyclopedia,* chief editor Vinogradov I. M., volume 4, Moscow, 1984. Sovetskaya Entsiklopediya (Soviet encyclopedia). In Russian. English translation in: Encyclopedia of Mathematics, vol. I–VI, Kluwer, 1995.

[26] B. Durhuus and J. M. Leinaas. On the loop space formulation of gauge theories. Preprint TH 3110, CERN, Geneva, 1981.

[27] O. M. Khudaverdian and A. S. Schwarz. A new comments on the string representation of gauge fields. *Phys. Lett. B*, 91(1):107–110, 1980.

[28] N. M. Ostianu, V. V. Rizhov, and P. I. Shveikin. Article on the scientific investigations of German Fedorovich Laptev. In *Works of the Geometrical seminar*, volume 4, pages 7–70. VINITI, Moscow, 1973. In Russian.

[29] P. Nikolov. On the correspondence between infinitesimal and integral description of connections. Internal Report, IC/81/196, ICTP, Trieste, 1981.

[30] Walter A. Poor. *Differential geometric structures.* McGraw-Hill Book Company Inc., New York, 1981.

[31] Bozhidar Z. Iliev. Transports along paths in fibre bundles. General theory. JINR Communication E5-93-299, Dubna, 1993. 26 pp.
http://arXiv.org e-Print archive, E-print No. math.DG/0503005, March 1, 2005.

[32] Bozhidar Z. Iliev. Transports along paths in fibre bundles. II. Ties with the theory of connections and parallel transports. JINR Communication E5-94-16, Dubna, 1994. 24 pp.
http://arXiv.org e-Print archive, E-print No. math.DG/0503006, March 1, 2005.

[33] Bozhidar Z. Iliev. Links between connections, parallel transports, and transports along paths in differentiable fibre bundles. *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics*, 2(5):823–838, 2005. DOI No: 10.1142/S0219887805000806
Talk at the International Workshop on “Advanced Geometric Methods in Physics”, Florence, Italy, 14 – 18 April, 2005.
http://arXiv.org e-Print archive, E-print No. math.DG/0504010, April 1, 2005.

[34] Bozhidar Z. Iliev. Transports along paths in fibre bundles. III. Consistency with bundle morphisms. JINR Communication E5-94-41, Dubna, 1994. 19 pp.
http://arXiv.org e-Print archive, E-print No. dg-ga/9704004, April 1997.

[35] Sze-Tsen Hu. *Homotopy Theory.* Academic Press, New York-London, 1959.

[36] Bozhidar Z. Iliev. Normal frames and linear transports along paths in vector bundles. *JP Journal of Geometry and Topology*, 5(3):187–250, 2005.
http://arXiv.org e-Print archive, E-print No. gr-qc/9809084, September 1998 (last revision: March 2005).

[37] Bozhidar Z. Iliev. Normal frames for derivations and linear connections and the equivalence principle. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 45(1–2):24–53, February 2003. DOI No. 10.1016/S0393-0440(02)00119-5
http://arXiv.org e-Print archive, E-print No. hep-th/0110194, October 2001.